FLYING SAUCER REVIEW

VOLUME 45/3
AUTUMN 2000



"Fox 3"'s sighting (Yukon, 1996)



"Fox 2"'s sighting (Yukon, 1996)

Japanese pilot's sighting (Alaska, 1986)



Brazilian naval photo (Trindade Island, 1958)

DO ALL THESE SIGHTINGS INVOLVE THE SAME TYPE OF CRAFT?

CONTENTS

Editorial..........1
Giant Mile-Wide UFO Over Yukon, by Martin Jasek..........1
Why Flying Triangles May Have D-Notice, by Omar Fowler..........14
The Challenge Of The Saucers, by Stanton Friedman..........16
Victim Mentality in Abductees Unacceptable, by Ann Druffel..........18
UFOs: A Demonic Conspiracy, by Fr Thomas Kulp..........21
George Fawcett Joins Roswell Museum Group..........23
The "Hooch" Blew Up! by Jorge Martín..........24
Readers' Letters..........24
A Stronger and More Lethal Sun? by Gordon Creighton..........25

FLYING SAUCER REVIEW

The international journal of cosmology and eschatology, and for the discussion of reports of unidentified flying objects and their alien occupants.

EDITORIAL TEAM & LIST OF CONSULTANTS & CORRESPONDENTS.

Editor: GORDON CREIGHTON, MA, FRGS, FRAS.
Desktop Publishing and Science Editor:
PHILIP CREIGHTON, BSc.(Hons).
Subscriptions Secretary:HOWARD RAIMBACH,Cert.Ed.
Computer Secretary: KATHY McCAIG.
Cover Design: PHILIP CREIGHTON.
Internet Web Page Design: DEAN LADDS and
WIL BROWN, B.Sc. (Hons) Computer Science.
Photography: ROBERT RUDGE, LBIPP.
Video Tapes: MALCOLM & SUE WALLER
Electronic Voice Phenomenon (EVP) and Instrumental Transcommunication (ITC): RAYMOND CASS

Special Consultants:
Professor ARCHIE E. ROY, B.Sc., Ph.D., FRSE, FRAS, FBIS. *(UK)*
DR RICHARD F. HAINES, PhD *(USA)*
DR. JACQUES VALLÉE, PhD *(USA)*

Consultants and Correspondents:
PROF. HÚLVIO BRANT ALEIXO *(BRAZIL)*
JOAN AMOS *(UK)*
GEORGE ANDREWS *(USA)*
JEAN BASTIDE *(FRANCE)*
MICHAEL R. BUHLER, ARCA *(UK)*
MARTIN BURGESS, FIIC, FSA, FBHI *(UK)*
JONATHAN CAPLAN, MA *(UK)*
BILL CHALKER, BSc.Hons. *(AUSTRALIA)*
BARRY CHAMISH *(ISRAEL)*
GRAHAM CONWAY *(UFO*BC, BRITISH COLUMBIA, CANADA)*
SCOTT CORRALES *(USA)*
JOAN PLANA CRIVILLÉN *(CEI - SPAIN)*
IGNACIO DARNAUDE ROJAS-MARCOS *(SPAIN)*
PAUL DONG *(CHINA)*
ANN DRUFFEL, BA *(USA)*
DR. P.M.H. EDWARDS, PhD, MA FTCL, LRAM, ARCM*(CANADA - VANCOUVER ISLAND & BRITISH COLUMBIA)*
LAWRENCE FENWICK, BA *(CANADA)*
JOAQUIM FERNANDES *(CNIFO - PORTUGAL)*
RYSZARD FIEJTEK *(POLAND)*
OMAR FOWLER *(PRA, Derbyshire - UK)*
SALVADOR FREIXEDO *(SPAIN)*
MAGDALENA DEL AMO-FREIXEDO *(SPAIN)*
FLORIN GHEORGHITA *(ROMANIA)*
DR JOHN F. GILLE, PhD *(FRANCE)*
IRENE GRANCHI *(CISNE - BRAZIL)*
MICHEL GRANGER, PhD *(FRANCE)*
DR I. GRATTAN-GUINNESS, MA, MSc, PhD, DSc *(UK)*
MARIA-ANGELA THOMAS GUMA (Jane Thomas) *(SPANISH AMERICA)*
JOHN HANSON *(UK)*
WING COMMANDER A. CECIL HARPER, MA, RAF Ret'd *(UK)*
DAWN HOLLOWAY *(UK)*
AHMAD JAMALUDIN *(MALAYSIA & S.E. ASIA)*
MARTIN JASEK *(UFO*BC, YUKON, CANADA)*
MILOS KRMELJ *(SLOVENIA)*
NIKOLAI LEBEDEV *(ST. PETERSBURG, RUSSIA)*
DESMOND LESLIE *(UK)*
MARK MACY *(USA)*, Editor of *Transdimension* (EVP and ITC.)
JUDY MAGEE *(AUSTRALIAN VUFORS)*
JORGE MARTiN (EVIDENCIA OVNI) *(PUERTO RICO)*
YUSUKE J. MATSUMURA *(CBA INTERNATIONAL, (JAPAN)*
PROF. MICHAEL MAUNSELL *(SÃO PAULO, BRAZIL)*
JOËL MESNARD *(FRANCE, Editor of Lumières dans la Nuit)*
CAPT. GORDON MILLINGTON, Ret'd, BA (Hons), T Cert RAEC *(UK)*
DR. RICHARD C. NIEMTZOW, MD PhD *(USA)*
PAUL B. NORMAN *(AUSTRALIA - VUFORS)*
RONALD D. PEARSON, BSc, Eng. *(UK)*
DR JEAN-PIERRE PETIT *(FRANCE)*
GIORGIO G. PIACENZA, BA *(PERU-USA)*
DR ROBERTO PINOTTI *(CUN-ITALY)*
CLAUDE RAFFY *(FRANCE)*
ANTONIO RIBERA *(SPAIN)*
MALCOLM ROBINSON *(UK-SCOTLAND)*
DR VLADIMIR V. RUBTSOV *(RIAP, UKRAINE)*
LUIS SCHÖNHERR *(AUSTRIA)*
DR BERTHOLD SCHWARZ, MD *(USA)*
DR R. LEO SPRINKLE, PhD *(USA)*
ROY STEMMAN, Publisher Life & Soul Magazine *(UK)*
PAUL STONEHILL, Russian UFO Research Centre *(USA)*
GORDON TUCKEY *(NEW ZEALAND)*
DON TUERSLEY *(UK)*
GENEVIÈVE VANQUELEF *(FRANCE - LDLN)*
PAUL WHITEHEAD, NCTJ Cert., *(UK)*
DR LEONARD M. WILDER, BDS (Lond) *(UK)*
GEORGE WINGFIELD, MA Hons. *(UK)*
DON WORLEY *(USA)*

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION (FOR FOUR ISSUES PER YEAR, POST-FREE)
UNITED KINGDOM: £15.00 (**Single copies** £4.00 each)
ALL OTHER COUNTRIES (INCLUDING USA): £18.00 OR $US35.00
(**Single copies** £5.00 OR $US 9.00) All post free.
(US dollars payable in New York. We cannot accept US dollar cheques drawn on foreign banks outside the USA)
Overseas airmail extra:- USA$ 10.00 All other countries £6.00

*** ***We are happy to announce that it is now possible to subscribe by CREDIT CARD through our website.*** ***

OVERSEAS SUBSCRIBERS SHOULD REMIT BY CHEQUE DRAWN IN *STERLING* ON A BANK IN THE UNITED KINGDOM, OR BY CHEQUE IN US DOLLARS DRAWN IN THE USA ONLY, OR BY INTERNATIONAL MONEY ORDER IN STERLING. IF REMITTING BY GIRO THEN FSR's ACCOUNT NUMBER IS 356 3251.
All mail, editorial matter and subscriptions should be addressed to **The Editor, FSR Publications Ltd, P.O. Box 162, High Wycombe, Bucks. HP13 5DZ, England.** Alternatively you can e-mail us at **fsr_fsr@hotmail.com**

NEW WEBSITE ADDRESS!

*** http://www.fsreview.net ***

*** PLEASE PROMOTE FSR! ***

In order to guarantee the survival of this magazine which we, along with many other people, still believe to be the best in the world dealing with this subject, we need all the help we can get! The most important thing is to increase our circulation. So, please tell your friends about FSR, and encourage them to subscribe! Thanks -Editor.

FLYING SAUCER REVIEW fsr.
ESTABLISHED 1955.
Vol. 45 No.3, Autumn 2000.

© Flying Saucer Review. Library of Congress copyright. FSR Publications Ltd, 1981. Contributions appearing in this magazine do not necessarily reflect its policy and are published without prejudice.

HOW TO REACH US AT FSR:-
Write to the Editor at:
FSR Publications Ltd,
P.O. Box 162, High Wycombe,
Bucks. HP13 5DZ, UK.
or
Send us an e-mail at:
fsr_fsr@hotmail.com

EDITORIAL

In situations where people find themselves confronted by alien craft or alien entities, an attitude of wild childish enthusiasm is scarcely to be recommended.

We recall that, many years ago, we published the case of a gentleman in Argentina who, perceiving a landed craft and some entities near it, dashed towards them blithely shouting "*amigos!*" -and was promptly felled by a beam of light from the UFO.

In a recent case we learn that an American residing in Ireland saw a number of UFOs in the middle of the night, and signalled to them while his small daughter posed the question "What can these aliens do?" - whereupon she immediately collapsed, her knees buckled, and she fell to the ground and had to be carried into the house, finally recovering some time later.

We understand that this episode was seen as a blessing, as something wonderful. Do these sorts of happenings indicate that a lot of folk think that it is all a great joke - and that the UFOs are here for our entertainment?

So it's all part of *showbiz*, as the British and American media are so fond of suggesting? Well, if that's what the public believe, then they should get ready for some shocks.■

I. GIANT MILE-WIDE UFO OVER YUKON TERRITORY, CANADA. © BY MARTIN JASEK, M.Sc. P.Eng.; Yukon Representative of UFO*BC, and FSR Consultant.

(This report has also appeared in MUFON UFO Journal for February 2000.)

INTRODUCTORY NOTE BY EDITOR, FSR.

Martin Jasek, born in the Czech Republic, came with his family when they emigrated to Canada in 1970. He was then six years old. He is a qualified civil engineer by profession, and holds the Bachelor's Degree in Civil Engineering and the Master's Degree in Water Resources Engineering, which is his speciality.

Young and strong, he is the most active member of the fine British Columbian research group UFO*BC -old friends of FSR- and he has done brilliant things for them and put Canadian research right at the forefront of UFO study. (Incidentally another eminent researcher, Dr. J. Allen Hynek, was also a Czech.)

Mr Jasek's original report, which I give below, intact, as it first appeared, consisted of nine pages. This is a very handy and convenient size for us, so I am sticking to it. But there are important changes to be pointed out.

For Martin has just produced, in June 2000, a fine new big Report in book form, containing 43 pages and a whole lot of fresh detail on this case. (*See box on page 2.*)

It is particularly to be noted that whereas Martin began with a total of 22 witnesses, he now has 31 witnesses, almost all of whom he has managed to identify and interview.

All but three of this total of 31 have asked to remain anonymous, but no less than 14 of them have supplied him with drawings of the giant UFO!

As all Martin's work indicates, the basic problem in the Yukon -as in so many other regions of the world- is that **folk are scared of being ridiculed**. This is why it has taken so long for Martin Jasek in the enormous and almost totally uninhabited vastness of the Yukon (with 30,000 inhabitants, 23,000 of whom are in the main city, Whitehorse, where Martin himself lives).

Another interesting factor is that one-third of the people in the Yukon are Amerindians. As we all know, these original inhabitants of America are shrewd and sharp observers, usually possessed of marvellous eyesight,

and all very closely attuned to Nature.

These folk do not talk easily to strangers about what they know or what they have seen. So we can take it as certain that Martin will still go on receiving fresh information about this astounding case.

As regards the size of the huge UFO, be it noted that the estimates given by the six principal witnesses range from a **minimum** of "half a mile wide" to a maximum of "1.3 miles wide".

To complete my introduction of Martin Jasek, I would remind readers that, in an earlier issue (FSR 43/3) we have already published as our lead cover-story a reportage by him (***Seven UFOs Photographed At Tagish Lake***) - Editor.

Those who wish to obtain copies of UFO*BC's SPECIAL REPORT NO. 1, "GIANT UFO IN THE YUKON TERRITORY", price $12 (Canadian) or $8 (U.S.), postage included, should apply to:-

UFO*BC,
11151 Kendale Way,
DELTA, BC
V4C 3P7 CANADA

For information regarding the UFO*BC quarterly magazine, or to report a sighting, either visit their website at **www.ufobc.org** or contact the following:

YUKON TERRITORY:
Martin Jasek
martin@ufobc.org/yukon
Tel: (867) 633 - 2472
Email: mjjasek@yknet.yk.ca

BRITISH COLUMBIA
UFO*BC Hotline: Tel. (604) - 6511
Email: contactus@ufobc.org

22 WITNESSES REPORT GIANT UFO IN YUKON.
© BY MARTIN JASEK, UFO*BC.



Martin Jasek

On Dec.11,1996, an incredible "UFO event" took place along a 216 km (134 mile) stretch of the Klondike Highway in the Yukon Territory, Canada.

The sightings occurred in three major areas along the highway: Fox Lake, the Village of Carmacks, and the Village of Pelly Crossing, each having 6, 9 and 7 witnesses respectively (see Figure I).

This total of 22 people is a minimum number, and only includes those identified to the investigator by name. There were other witnesses (or vehicles) reported on scene. All but one of the witnesses wish to remain anonymous.

Between the time period of February 1999 and September 1999, 19 out of the 22 witnesses have been interviewed (only 2 of these were second-hand accounts).

This report documents the witnesses' descriptions resulting from these interviews. Fourteen of the witnesses have supplied drawings of the UFO.

Comparing the size of the UFO observed to that of a football stadium is not due to exaggeration on the witnesses' part. On the contrary, this comparison is conservative, as it will be shown in this report that the UFO was likely much larger.

A reasonably accurate estimate of the size of the UFO (or UFOs) was accomplished through a method based on geometry called "triangulation." (See "Calculation of UFO size" at end of this article.) This method was employed 6 times to obtain 6 estimates for the size of the UFO.

All revealed staggering results: the UFO ranged anywhere from 0.88 km (0.55 miles) to 1.8 km (1.1 miles) in length! For comparison, the Toronto Skydome stadium is 0.21 km (0.13 miles) at it's widest point.

In order to keep witness identities anonymous, "Code



Names" were developed consisting of the following format: For those witnesses who observed the UFO near Fox Lake the witness code names given were FOXl, FOX2, FOX3..., for those near Carmacks, CRM 1, CRM2, CRM3..., and for those near Pelly Crossing, PELl, PEL2, PEL3...etc.

THE NARRATIVE.

Witnesses FOX2 and FOX3 were driving together from Whitehorse to Carmacks in two separate vehicles. As they were travelling northbound on the Klondike Highway adjacent to Fox Lake, they spotted a huge UFO out over the frozen lake. Fox Lake is on the west side of the highway.

Both of them slammed on the brakes, stopping about 570 metres (1870 ft) apart from each other (refer to map shown in Figure 2).

FOX2 got out of his vehicle for a better observation. The UFO proceeded to slowly drift towards FOX2 and after a few minutes he found himself almost directly underneath the object! FOX3 continued to observe his cousin FOX2; both men were in complete awe! (See drawings by FOX3, Figures 3 and 4.)

The UFO continued to move slowly across the highway and out over the hill to the east and eventually disappeared behind it. Also, see drawing by FOX2 (Figure 5).

Immediately after the sighting, FOX3 noted that the time was 8:30 p.m. Both FOX2 and FOX3 could discern that the lights were attached to a smooth and solid object.

At the very same time that FOX2 and FOX3 were observing the UFO move across the lake, FOX4 and FOX5 were approaching the southern tip of Fox Lake also heading northbound (see map, Figure 2).

What they observed was a huge row, or rows, of lights slowly moving across the lake. There were other lights on and around the UFO as well. See drawings by FOX4 and FOX5 (Figures 6 and 7) and Fox4's estimated size compared to known landmarks (Figure 15).

Their first thought was that it was a large truck in the distance, but it couldn't be, since it was out over the lake. Their next thought was that a Boeing 747 was crash-landing. But that couldn't be either, since it was moving much too slowly to be an aircraft.

It took them about 2 seconds to process these thoughts when they realized that it must be a UFO! They became very concerned. They had a two-year-old son in the back seat and they were travelling towards this thing!

After some debate they decided to continue their journey. After all, they could no longer see the UFO as they approached a hill that obscured their view, plus there was some traffic ahead of them.

FOX5 looked at the car clock, it was 8:23 pm. None of the witnesses heard any sound coming from the object.

A few minutes later, when FOX4 and FOX5 were passing the Fox Lake campground, they passed by two vehicles that were pulled over with two men outside looking up at the sky. They turned around and pulled over to talk to them.

It was FOX2 and FOX3 carrying on a lively discussion, "What the 'heck' was that?" After a few minutes FOX4 and FOX5 left and eventually stopped at Braeburn Lodge about 34 km (21 miles) further up the

highway. FOX4 walked into the lodge and said to Steve Watson, the lodge owner, "Steve, I really need a coffee!"

Steve replied "Oh you must have seen what [FOX 1] saw?" In fact FOX4 recalled seeing FOX1 leaving Braeburn Lodge just as they got there.

About half an hour before the sighting described above (about 8 p.m.) FOX1 was driving along Fox Lake and had noticed a light in the distance which should not have been there. He did not think too much of it, but as he got closer to the light, he could tell that it was illuminating a long smooth curved surface. He then passed some traffic and after his eyes had readjusted to the darkness, the curved surface and the light were gone.

However, his eye caught a group of rectangular lights moving over and behind a hill to the east. At this point he got an "exhilarating feeling" and sped up in order to reach a less obscured location in the valley so that he would have a chance to see the UFO again.

He pulled over and got out of his vehicle but didn't see anything more unusual. He continued his journey and pulled into Braebum Lodge where he gave Steve description of what he saw, and also made drawings for him. FOX2 and FOX3 eventually pulled into Braeburn Lodge and gave their description to Steve as well.

There was also a 6th witness to the Fox Lake sighting, but it is unclear what time she had driven through the area. FOX6 was driving in the vicinity of Fox Lake when she noticed a glow on her dashboard that could not be accounted for by the interior illumination of her vehicle.

She leaned forward to look up through her windshield and observed a large arrangement of multi-colored lights. The interior lights in her car started to go dim and the music from her tape deck slowed down.

Between 8:30 and 9 p.m., the Village of Pelly Crossing (about a 2-hour drive to the north of Fox Lake) was experiencing its own truly incredible UFO sighting.

PELl was tending his trapline northeast of Pelly when he observed in the distance to the southwest a long row of lights slowly moving over the hills. At first he thought it was a large aircraft coming down. But it was moving much too slowly. "It's a UFO!"

As he was walking, his flashlight happened to point in the direction of the UFO. As if reacting to his flashlight, the UFO started speeding rapidly toward him. He instinctively cupped the end of his flashlight. As soon as he completed this gesture, the UFO stopped in its track.

In a matter of less than a second, it was hovering an estimated 300 yards (275 metres) in front of him! PELl had to turn his head from one side to the other to take it all in. (See graphic Figure 8, based on witness' drawings, and the investigator's interpretation Figure 9).

Again there was no sound at all coming from the object. A beam of light emanating from the bottom of the UFO swept the ground once directly underneath the object. Was it a search beam looking for him? The UFO then drifted slowly to the right.

There were other beams emanating from the craft as well; a greenish-phosphorescent-color beam shone horizontally out the front (right); two beams at the back (left) rotated slowly to a horizontal position.

All the beams could be seen clearly as there were ice



Figure 2. Fox Lake area and witness locations.

crystals in the air. PEL 1 turned away from the UFO momentarily and ran across a small clearing. When he turned back to look at it, it was gone. Figure 10 shows the location of the witness and his estimated trajectory of the UFO.

At about the same time, PEL2 and PEL3 were travelling northbound just south of Pelly Crossing, to the north, when they spotted a huge row of lights slowly moving from left to right. They pulled over at a gravel pit just south of the Village to get a better look and got out of their vehicle.

PEL2 noticed that the Big Dipper was just above the row of lights and compared the length of the lights to the width of the Big Dipper. They were about the same length! This observation was very important, since it established a well-referenced angular size of the UFO from PEL2 and PEL3's perspective, important for a more accurate triangulation and calculation of UFO size. The map shown in Figure 10 shows the location of witnesses PEL2 and PEL3 and their estimate of the UFO trajectory.

The accounts of witnesses PEL1 through 3 were enough to complete a calculation of UFO size. The observations of witnesses PEL4, 5, 6 and 7 about the same time provided a second triangulation. Their location is also indicated on the map in Figure 10. The four women were taking an evening course at a small community college in Pelly Crossing (a satellite school of Yukon College).

They were out on a break on the front deck of the one-storey building looking towards the west when they too observed the row of lights. The row of lights was travelling slowly almost towards them and slightly towards the



Figure 3: Drawing by Fox3 of overview of UFO.

Figure 4: Drawing by Fox3 of underside of UFO.



Figure 5: Drawing of UFO by Fox2.



north. They recall the object being huge as well; there was no sound at all. It moved slowly over the hill to the north and disappeared behind it.

Then there was the UFO sighting near the Village of Carmacks by 9 witnesses. The UFO was observed by two groups of people.

CRMl, 2, 3 and 4 were on the highway northbound in a pick-up truck just south of Carmacks.

CRMS, 6, 7, 8 and 9 consisted of a husband, wife and their 3 children. They were watching television when they spotted the row of lights out of their window. The locations of the witnesses and estimated UFO trajectories are shown in Figure 10.

The four men travelling together pulled over near the landfill at the southern edge of the Village to get a better look at the UFO. They watched the noiseless object move slowly to the northeast, curve around them to the south, and head up a valley adjacent to the microwave tower south of the village, where it just vanished.

At one point the UFO was partially obscured behind a nearby hill and one witness recalls the UFO slowly reappearing on the other side of it. He remembers waiting





a long time for the last light to reappear from behind the hill; that's how slow and large the object was!

The object took up about a 60 to 90 degree horizontal chunk of the sky. See drawings by CRM 1 and CRM2 (Figures 13 and 14). CRM 1 recalls hearing about the Fox Lake UFO sighting on the radio the next day and surmised that they saw the UFO about an hour and a half earlier, about 7 p.m.

The family is not exactly sure what time it was when they saw the UFO, only that it was in the evening. They observed the row of lights just to the northwest of them moving slowly to the northeast. The lights were just over the treeline, and there was no noise at all. See Figure 11 (drawing by the eldest son, CRM7, six years old at the time).

The lights continued to move until they disappeared one by one behind what appeared to be an invisible wall. There was no mountain in that direction that could account for this. With the UFO sighting occurring just two weeks prior to Christmas, the three children thought that it was Santa Claus and his reindeer in the sky.

An estimate of the UFO size by triangulation was not possible for the Carmacks UFO sighting, as the geometry of the witness locations in relation to the UFO was less than ideal (see "Estimate of UFO Size").

Furthermore, it was unclear whether both the family and the group of four men in the truck observed the UFO at the same time. Perhaps the UFO made more than one pass by the Village that night.

There is also some evidence to suggest that this sighting event" encompassed an even larger area, as UFO reports were heard on CBC North radio the very next day mentioning sightings in the communities of Dawson, Mayo and Watson Lake. No witnesses from these additional communities have thus far come forward or been identified.

Estimate of UFO Size Determined by Triangulation. By Martin Jasek.

A reasonably accurate estimate of the size of the UFO (or UFOs) was accomplished through a method based on geometry called "triangulation."

Triangulation relies on the observation of an object (in this case a UFO) from at least two different vantage points at the same time. In addition to this, it also relies on an estimate of the angular size from at least one of the witnesses.

One way to describe angular size is by comparing the size of the UFO to something being held out at arm's length. An example would be "the UFO was the size of a fist at arm's length."

Another way to describe angular size is to compare the UFO's size to something else in the scene. An example would be "the UFO was about twice the size of that mountaintop."

Given the large number of witnesses and vantage points associated with this case, six separate calculations of UFO size could be made.

Calculation# 1. A trip to the Fox Lake sighting location was made with FOX3. The witness showed the locations along the highway where he and FOX2 pulled over to watch the UFO. This distance was measured to be 570 metres apart.

We know from FOX2's testimony that he was directly underneath the edge of the UFO at one point in time. While on location, FOX3 was asked to hold out his arms and indicate the angular size of the UFO. This distance was measured to be about 1.2 metres (4 feet) apart. The perpendicular distance from his eyes to the tip of his hands was measured to be 0.4 metres (1.3 ft).

Using trigonometry, this calculates out to be about a 113 degrees of angular distance. Projecting this angle forward the 570 metres distance to the UFO one obtains a UFO size of 1,710 metres or about 1.7 kilometres (1.1 miles) in length (See Figure 2).

It was also of interest to obtain the elevation of the UFO in this observation, especially since it was almost directly overhead of FOX2. While on location with FOX3, the investigator asked him to take a photograph of the scene and hold his finger at the spot where the bottom of the UFO was when it was over his cousin (FOX2). An angular height of 6.5 degrees above the ground was determined from the photograph.

Using trigonometry one obtains a height of the UFO of only 65 metres (213 ft) above FOX2! This is consistent with FOX3's estimate of 4 or 5 lamppost heights.

Calculation #2 and #3. FOX4 was presented with a photograph taken of the scene from his vantage point. He was asked to mark on the photo the length of the UFO. He was also instructed to hold the photograph 8 to 9 inches



Figure 8: Artist's interpretation of sighting based on drawing by PEL1 (trapper Don Trudeau).

Figure 9: UFO observed by PEL1 (Trudeau).



away from him in order that the angular size of the landmarks and actual scene were about the same. He gave two estimates (Figure 15). The two angles worked out to be 12.8 and 8.44 degrees angular size for the UFO.

Since it was established that FOX2 and FOX3 were observing the UFO at the same time further up the lake, we know the distance to the UFO to be about 8 km (5 miles). Again, projecting those angles out to that distance, the UFO size for the two estimates worked out to be 1.8 km (1.1 miles) and 1.2 km (0.75 miles) in length respectively. (Figure 2)

Calculation #4. As above, FOX5 was presented with a photograph of the scene. She gave her estimate of angular UFO size and this worked out to be 3.95 degrees. Projecting this angle out towards the UFO 8 km (5 miles) away, one obtains a UFO size of 0.88 km (0.55 miles) in



Figure 10: map of Pelly area.

Fig. 10. Map of Pelly Area.

length.

Calculations#5 and #6. These two calculations were based on observations by witnesses near the Village of Pelly Crossing. PEL2 was very intelligent to compare the size of the UFO she observed to something in the scene. She compared it to the size of the star constellation, "The Big Dipper." This is more accurate than estimating after the fact or from a photograph.

Since she perceived the angular length of the UFO to be the same length as the Big Dipper, from the position of the stars we can deduce that the angle was about 25 degrees. (See angle projected in map shown in Figure 10).

Since PEL4, 5, 6, and 7 were observing the UFO at about the same time from a different vantage point, we could triangulate a location of the UFO shown in Figure 10. The estimated trajectory of PEL2 was also used for a second calculation.

The second calculation would not normally be considered a triangulation since it involves observations from only one vantage point. However, some weight has to be given to the estimated UFO trajectory of witness PELl that is even further away from PEL2.

By projecting the angle of the Big Dipper out to these two trajectory locations, one obtains two more calculations of UFO size. The first trajectory is 2.6 km (1.6 miles) away and yields a UFO size of 1.2 km (0.75 miles) in length. The second trajectory is 4.4 km (2.8 miles) away and yields a UFO size of 2.0 km (i .3 miles) in length.

To summarize, we have obtained the following sizes of the UFO by means of triangulation:-

FOX3 with FOX2: l.7 km (1.1 miles)
FOX4 with FOX2: 1.8 km (1.1 miles)
FOX4 with FOX2: 1.2 km (0.75 miles)
FOXS with FOX2: 0.88 km (0.55 miles)
PEL2 with PEL4,5,6,7: 1.2 km (0.75 miles)
PEL2 with PEL 1: 2.0 km (1.3 miles)



Figure 11: Drawing by CRM7, 6 years old.



Figure 12: Carmacks area.



Figure 13: Drawing by CRM1.



Figure 14: Drawing by CRM2.

This is consistent with witness testimony of the object appearing to be "huge" in the sky. Several witnesses gave size estimates of their own, and these were generally smaller than those obtained through the more accurate triangulation:

FOX2: 0.5 km (0.3 miles) based on relative size to lake width;
FOX3: 0.21 km (0.13 miles}-comparable to a football stadium;
FOX4: 0.5 to 0.7 km (0.3 to 0.4 miles -direct estimate;
PEL1: 1.2 km (0.75 miles) -direct estimate;
PEL3: 0.5 km (0.3 miles); -direct estimate.

By any stretch of the imagination or calculation, this thing was BIG!

Frequently-asked questions regarding the Yukon Case, By Martin Jasek (Added to report - January 2000).

Why has it taken almost 3 years for this case to be made public?

Actually, two of the witnesses (FOX2 and FOX3) did approach a local radio station (CHON FM) the day after the event (Dec 12, 1996), and their interviews did get on the air. However, that is as far as it went. The author was not aware of this broadcast at the time.

In I998, witness CRMl placed an anonymous call to Lorraine Bretlyn, another Yukon UFO investigator, and mentioned that his sighting in Carmacks occurred the same evening as the Fox Lake sighting that he heard described on the radio. This was our first clue that a large UFO was seen by multiple witnesses in multiple locations. However, all we knew at that point was that the incident occurred in the past few years and in winter conditions.

On Jan. 28,1999, FOX2 called us to describe his sighting at Fox Lake. The call was spurred by a local newspaper article describing some unrelated UFO cases.

He mentioned that many of the Fox Lake witnesses had stopped in at Braeburn Lodge and described their sighting to lodge owner Steve Watson. Watson was interviewed, and he confirmed that fact and was able to give us about three additional witness names. These witnesses were contacted, and they in turn provided additional witness names until we had a total of 22.

The time period between January and October 1999 was used to locate these other witnesses, interview them, obtain their drawings, and put this report together. This was complicated by the facts that most of the witnesses live a 2 to 3 hours' drive from the City of Whitehorse where the investigation is based, and some of the witnesses did not have telephones.

Why are the drawings and descriptions of the UFO different? Did the witnesses see the same UFO or maybe different ones?

Yes, we agree that many of the drawings do look different. It could have been different UFOs, but there could be other reasons for the differences in the drawings

and descriptions:

1. It was two to three years from the time the sighting occurred to when the witnesses were asked to make their drawings. Memories do change.

2. Perhaps witnesses at different locations saw different sides of the UFO that may have had a different number/ configuration of lights. The distance to the UFO also varied, so those further away would not see certain details.

3. Different witnesses spent different amounts of time on their drawings. Some paid a lot of attention to detail, while others just provided a quick sketch. Some drawings were constructed in just seconds, while others -and one in particular- probably took more than 5 minutes. Artistic talent varied.

4. The UFO may have had certain lights turned off and certain lights turned on during that evening. It may have varied the "on lights" and "off lights" between the times when various witnesses observed it.

Note: Drawings by FOX2, FOX3, FOX4 and FOX5 were very similar, with minor differences that could easily be attributable to points 1, 2 and 3 above. All these witnesses were aware of the time that their sighting took place, and it has therefore been established that these four were observing the same UFO at the same time.

The differences in drawings of PEL2 and PEL3 could be mostly attributable to points 1 and 3. The differences between the drawing of PEL1 and the other Pelly witnesses may be attributable to points 2 and 4.

5. Points 2, 3 and 4 do not explain the differences in drawings by witnesses who were together during the sighting. To provide some explanation for this, one may consider the following.

All the witnesses observed the UFO anywhere from one minute to several minutes. During their observation the UFO traversed a considerable distance across the sky, thereby changing their perspective view of it. It likely looked much different from these different angles.

Each witness was asked to draw the UFO. One would suppose that each witness would pluck one image from his or her mind that corresponded to one of these perspectives. They would likely choose the one image that made the largest impression on them. This may explain the differences between the drawings of CRM1 and CRM2, and also between PEL6 and PEL7.



Figure 15. Fox4's estimates of size of UFO.

How do you know that the witnesses are not making it up?

The answer lies in the demeanor of the witnesses in their interviews. Only two witnesses approached the media or a UFO investigator -the remaining 20 had to be sought. Once found, they were reluctant to talk.

However, when they were assured that their identity would not be made public, they opened up. As they were describing their sighting, apprehension was replaced by excitement. It was like reliving the experience with them. This behavior is not consistent with someone making up a story.

If it were an orchestrated hoax by all these people they would not have sat around for someone to show up at their door until two and a half years later. It is not likely that they would have arranged to stop consecutively at Braeburn Lodge and tell a made-up UFO story to the lodge owner.

I have met several times with some of these witnesses, often being invited into their homes. I have gotten to know them fairly well and consider many of them as friends. Among the ones I have met frequently are FOX1, FOX2, FOX3, FOX4, FOX5, PEL1 and PEL2.

Even the ones I met on only one occasion, their demeanor was consistent with that of an honest person confronted with something that they saw that they could not explain in terms of conventional means.■

II. AN EARLIER CASE? ("SAVED BY JUPITER!") BY GORDON CREIGHTON.

Martin Jasek is blessed with relative youthfulness (-a great commodity, as some of the rest of us can assure you!) -so it is possible that he is not aware of an earlier report that I published as my lead-story so long ago as FSR 32/2 (1987).

That report discussed the case in which, during the night of November 17, 1986, Captain Kenju Terauchi, *Japan Air Line's* most experienced and expert pilot, flying a *JAL Boeing 747* cargo plane (flight JAL 1628) with a load of new Beaujolais wine from Paris to Tokyo via Iceland and Alaska, landed at Anchorage, Alaska, and reported that, while at a height of 8 kms, he had been "bugged" and followed, for the last 400 miles, by one enormous UFO "two times bigger than an aircraft carrier" and by two smaller ones.

The vitally important point of this story is that, as Captain Terauchi's sketches clearly show, his big UFO seems to have been uncannily similar to what Martin Jasek is now reporting as having been seen over the Canadian Yukon, **right next to Alaska**, by at least 31 witnesses. Personally I find myself wondering whether they may not even have been one and the same craft. The two events were in November and December respectively, and precisely ten years apart!

Owing to its greatest possible interest, I re-publish below my original 1987 article, *Saved by Jupiter!*

It will be noted that, very fortunately for the peace of mind of the world in general, and of all UFO debunkers in particular. Mr Philip Klass, that most doughty and redoubtable of all top-flight debunkers, was on hand to reapidly defuse the entire affair and to prove that the big craft which had accompanied Captain Kenju Terauchi for 400 miles **had merely been the planet Jupiter.** (I understand that, on learning this, Captain Terauchi's comments -even in Japanese, the mildest of all languages and most lacking in terms of abuse -were quite unusually interesting and forthright.)

We do not know, alas, where Mr Philip Klass was, ten years later, when Martin Jasek's bad piece of news erupted on the world. But Jupiter was still there, and available as always for use. So it is a total mystery why he should have seemingly fallen down on the job and, so sadly, missed an unforgettable opportunity to bring Jupiter into operation again. G.C.■

SAVED BY JUPITER, BY GORDON CREIGHTON. (Extract from FSR 32/2 -1987.)

At the end of last year word leaked out that soon after sundown on November 17, 1986, the three-man crew of a Japan Air Lines *Boeing 747* cargo plane (flight 1628) had had an unusual encounter over Alaska.

The pilot, Captain Kenju Terauchi (one of JAL's most experienced, with 29 years of service and an enormous number of flying hours) was taking a load of new Beaujolais wine from Paris to Tokyo via Iceland and Alaska when, as he reported to the U.S. Federal Aviation Administration on landing at Anchorage, Alaska, he and his co-pilot and his flight-engineer observed the lights of what seemed to be one very large unidentified object and two smaller ones, which followed them for 400 miles, vanishing only just before they put down at Anchorage.

Having reported the sighting by radio, Captain Terauchi was instructed to descend from 35,000 ft. to 31,000 ft. and make a 360-degree circle, but he said this made no difference as the objects were not shaken off.

Terauchi said the weather had been fine and clear at the time and they were cruising at 525 knots and had just crossed from Canadian airspace to Alaskan in the region just north-east of Fort Yukon, when they perceived three lights which seemed to be about eight miles ahead of them.

They were stalked for about 32 minutes and the lights had "stayed close to them". According to the ground flight controller at Anchorage the large unknown object had come to within five miles of them.

Coloured Lights — But No Red One

The large object showed clearly on Terauchi's own on-board weather radar, but the two smaller objects had not shown on it and had only been observed visually.

OF EXTRA-TERRESTRIAL ORIGIN.

Captain Terauchi said he was quite unable to explain the affair "but speculated that they had seen something of extra-terrestrial origin and of a more advanced technology". He said he was amazed that the objects moved so quickly and stopped suddenly, calling them 'two



The Pilot's sketch.

small ships and the mother ship'." (Report published in *The Times*, London, January 6, 1987.)

WIDE PRESS COVERAGE.

Between December 30, 1986, and January 14,1987, this cat was well and truly "out of the bag", and the affair got reported remarkably widely in the American and British press.

According to the American weekly, *Newsweek* (January 12) and several Californian newspapers, Terauchi had told the American FAA authorities that the large craft *"was very big -two times bigger than an aircraft-carrier".*

He sketched it as *"a huge, dark, walnut-shaped globe with a wide, flat rim, and bulges above and below"*.

Californian newspapers quoted Paul Steucke, the FAA spokesman at Anchorage, as having said that Terauchi had described it as *"having a diameter of perhaps two aircraft-carriers placed end to end".*

Those who have FSR 4/3 (1958) or 5/1 (1959) should look at the pictures of the huge craft of this identical shape which was photographed by the Brazilian naval photographer Barauna aboard the Brazilian naval training ship *Almirante Saldanha* on January 16,1958, when that vessel was near the Island of Trindade (a rocky uninhabited islet off the Brazilian coast -not Trinidad in the British West Indies).

The evening sky over Alaska was completely clear when Captain Terauchi and crew first spotted the three lights ahead of them, at a distance of, as they thought, some eight miles or so.

According to FAA spokesman Paul Steucke, Terauchi said *"these three lights were respectively yellow, amber and green. But there was no red light among them - this being the international colour for aircraft beacons".*

What is perhaps the most interesting aspect of the whole affair is that, according to the account published in various Californian newspapers, Paul Steucke also admitted on January 4 that the Federal Aviation Administration *"had looked at the case about six weeks earlier"* (i.e. at about the time of the occurrence). . . *"but since then we've gotten a lot of public interest, so we went back and re-interviewed the pilot.")*

So they had hoped to hush it up, but unfortunately for them one of the Japanese crew talked, and the cat was now out of the bag, and was proving to be quite an embarrassment until a good excuse could be found for it.

Paul Steucke said (*Newsweek*, January 12) that both U.S. Air Force flight controllers and civilian controllers "had reported briefly seeing echoes of what might have been another aircraft near the JAL machine. But when the radar tapes covering the period were replayed by the FAA investigators, the reported echoes could not be found."

In conclusion, Steucke said: "It's a mystery. . . but really . . we have nothing here to investigate. The controller saw what he saw. . . As for what it could have been, on the extreme side it could have been the proverbial 'unknown object', or possibly a military aircraft from the United States, Canada, or some foreign country."

And, he added, *"The U.S. Air Force is now attributing the supposed radar sightings to 'clutter'."*

TERAUCHI'S SECOND SIGHTING.

Then -oh horror of horrors- a week later (*Daily Express*, London, January 14, 1987) Captain Terauchi was reporting a *second close encounter!* He told FAA offcials that on the morning of Sunday, January 11, when flying from London to Anchorage, he had again seen strange lights, which approached his jet liner from in front and passed beneath it, re-appearing at the rear.

ICE CRYSTALS.

By January 14, however, officialdom seemed to have the situation well under control again. According to the *Daily Express*, of that date, the American experts were saying that this second sighting by Terauchi was *"caused by light reflected from ice-crystals below the aircraft".*(If my memory is not at fault, it must be about fifteen years since we last heard of a UFO that was "ice crystals".)

Thank God, then, as we thought at the time, for ice crystals", and for "clutter", faithful old stand-bys, both of them!

SOLVED AT LAST.

But, in the end, it looks as if the last and best word has come of course from Mr Philip Klass, one of the USA's two top experts on the non-existence of UFOs. And the authoritative London *Times* (January 29, 1987) has disposed of the whole pesky problem with the following neat little item:-

BY JUPITER

New York (AP). - A Japan Air Lines pilot who claimed to have seen an unidentified flying object alongside his aircraft last November actually saw an unusually bright image of the planet Jupiter and possibly Mars, according to Mr Philip Klass, an investigator of UFO claims.

FULLER REPORTS FROM CONSULTANTS AWAITED.

Two of FSR's Consultants, the NASA scientist Dr Richard F. Haines, PhD., of California, and former

Japanese *Kamikazi* pilot Yusuke Matsumura, have already sent us *preliminary* reports on this case, but we must await the fuller accounts that both have promised before we say more on the case.

Meanwhile, can we not all heave a sigh of relief and cry "THANK GOD FOR JUPITER!" *Have we not all felt for years past, that Venus was being badly overworked?* Time after time we have seen poor old Venus called in to do the job. Not so long ago, I recall, it was Venus when two large bright globes were seen sailing over Greece. And, as readers can see for themselves from our latest Russian report which we publish on page 12, it was poor old Venus away back there in 1912 and 1913!

We are all sure that a little rest for her will be very welcome. Who isn't deeply grateful to Comrade Klass for having thought of Jupiter in the nick of time!

AFTERTHOUGHT: We can commend Jupiter's interest in an excellent wine, and confirm that the current lot of Beaujolais nouveau definitely seems to be a cut above the usual.■

III. ADDITIONAL NOTES, GLEANED LATER, ON THE ALASKAN CASE OF NOVEMBER 17, 1986.

Flying at a height of 10 kms, the crew had observed a red glow, "like sunrise", on the horizon, with the full moon rising above it.

At a little after 18.00 hours., the Captain, Kenju Terauchi, saw white and yellow lights down below, ahead of him, and on his port side, and he thought these might be lights from a military plane.

These lights continued to accompany his plane. Promptly Assistant First Pilot Takanore Tamefuji contacted Traffic Control Centre at Anchorage, Alaska, and asked if any other aircraft were in the area. Anchorage Control, and also the local radar station, replied that they were receiving a faint signal from near the *Boeing 17*.

Then Terauchi switched on his digital radar with a light beam, designed to detect climatic conditions and also aircraft. The beam showed Terauchi a green target (the colour green is usually associated with light rain. The beam did not show red, which a solid object should have shown.)

Being in the left-hand pilot's seat, Terauchi had a more unobstructed view of the lights (still below and ahead) and saw them suddenly begin to move about erratically ("like polar bear cubs playing about", as he later told the officials of the FAA, Federal Aviation Administration, at Anchorage).

A few minutes later the main light took up position ahead of the *Boeing 747*, and emitted a beam that lit up the pilot's cabin of the *Boeing* with a warm glow.

When passing over Eilson Air Base, near Fairbanks, Alsaka, Terauchi said he noticed a shape behind his own aircraft, the silhouette of a gigantic object, like a great "mother-craft", larger than two cargo planes together. (See his sketch.)

He requested permission from Air Traffic Control to perform a complete circle and then descend to a height of 9.5 kms. He did so, and he states that the shape accompanied him in both these manoeuvres.

A flight belonging to *United Air Lines* and a *C-130* military aircraft were also in the area near Anchorage. The Air Traffic Control Tower asked the pilots of both these machines to alter course and intercept the *JAL Boeing*, in order to confirm the sighting.

Both machines did so, and both approached near enough to see only the navigation lights of JAL 1628, now alone. At much about the same time Terauchi was reporting that the UFOs had vanished -presumably just before the approach of the other two aircraft. The entire encounter had lasted about 50 minutes. G.C.■



FOR COMPARISON: On January 16, 1958, several photos of this craft over Trindade Island, Brazil, were taken from the deck of the Brazilian naval vessel ALMIRANTE SALDANHA by a professional photographer. President Kubitchek of Brazil, as well as the Brazilian Navy, admitted that the photos were authentic.

Could this be the same type of large UFO that was seen over Alaska in the night of November 17, 1986, and over the Canadian Yukon -close to Alaska- on the night of December 11, 1996?

"FLYING TRIANGLES" - WHY THEY MAY INDEED BE SUBJECT TO A "D" NOTICE. © BY OMAR FOWLER, Founder and President of Phenomenon Research Association, Derby, FSR Director and Consultant.

FOREWORD BY EDITOR OF FSR.

[We heard talk last year and rumours that the British media, including the BBC, had received official instructions not to publish any reports about black triangular UFOs, and in due course I received from a long-established FSR reader , Larry Robson, of Stratford-upon-Avon, the following report. As it is useful background information, I am publishing the entire text of it as a prelude to what our Triangle expert Omar Fowler has to say about this matter! G.C.]

The Corner House 5th December 1999
Shottery Village
Stratford-upon-Avon
Warwickshire
CV37 9HD

Dear Gordon,
I have located the original article from which *CAUS* derived their account. Source obviously is not reliable, but mention of Nick Pope does presumably lend some status?

U.K. PRESS TOLD NOT TO REPORT BLACK TRIANGLE UFOs. UFO MAGAZINE SAYS MEDIA GOT "D-NOTICED" ON MYSTERY AIRCRAFT.

[CNI News, thanks Ian Reid for the following text, which ran in the British magazine *UFO Reality*, issue No. 5.]

A top BBC executive let slip recently that there is a *D-Notice* on media-reporting of the so-called *"Black Triangle"*.

The executive, who cannot be named, is the former producer of a very popular BBC science programme. He told one of our team that the black triangle "craft", first witnessed by hundreds in the Hudson Valley region of the United States [(mid-1980's), then by thousands in Belgium (1989-90) and more in Britain], has been "heavily D-Noticed" by the Government. For this reason the BBC will not be reporting on the enigmatic craft, no matter how many witness reports they receive

According to the former science programme producer, the reason the Government has seen fit to slap a restrictive notice on reporting of the Triangle is because -so far as the Government has secretly informed the BBC- the craft is part of a new secret military project, and as such must be protected under the secrecy laws.

If this is the case, however, it surely begs the question: **If the so called Black Triangle is a secret military aircraft, then what is is doing hovering over residential areas and frightening people half to death? Something somewhere simply does not add up.**

[CNI News adds: As noted by Nick Pope in the previous article, **it is very unlikely that the "Black Triangle" aircraft can be explained as a secret military project.** Its technical capabilities and flight behaviour suggest it neither belongs to any earthly government nor falls within the envelope of human technology. **Perhaps, then, the British media are actually being warned away from reporting on a fairly obvious example of "alien" craft intruding with impunity into British airspace.]**
(Original file name: *CNI - Flying Triangles*. This file was converted with TexToHTML - (c) Logic n.v.)

Subsequent articles appear at *CAUS* website:-

FLYING TRIANGLES CONTINUE TO DEFY IDENTIFICATION. ***(CAUS* thanks Morgan Clements (UFOREPORTS @aol.com), World Wide UFO Reporting Centre for this report.)**

MASSACHUSETTS TRIANGLES.
Brookfield, Mass. October 22, 1999.

"I was just walking home in the woods, behind where I live, from scouting deer for the upcoming hunting season. It was getting pretty dark, so I was in a hurry and got tired walking up the final hill. I stopped to take a quick breather at 7.30 pm, when all of a sudden one, then two, three, and then four Flying Triangle craft went right by me in the bottom of the small valley.

"They were totally silent and appeared to be sneaking through the valley. They were triangular in shape and about 75 feet long and as wide. There were no outside lights, but being so close to them I could tell there was lighting inside. I was scared!

"All of a sudden three large noisy planes came screaming by as if they were chasing the triangles. This is the second night I've seen these craft. I thought I saw two craft the week before, but they caught me off guard. I caught them going over the ridge, but was not quite sure what I'd seen."

CAUS thanks George A. Filer (Majorstar@aol.com); Filer's Files#43, 1999, for these reports:-

FLORIDA TRIANGLE WITH BLUE IONIZATION NEAR GULF BREEZE PENSACOLA BEACH.

On October 9, 1999, the witnesses were rod fishing in Pensacola Pass when a strangely configured craft came into view over Fort Pickens State Park at an altitude

of 200ft at a quarter of a mile. The witnesses are an ex-USAF Security Policeman and a retired US Army Chopper pilot, presently a city police officer.

The UFO was travelling west over the Gulf of Mexico in a zig-zag fashion at 7.57 pm. The craft flew slow, 100 to 300 knots, sliding south and back to north in a continuing forward motion. The craft moved in a Z- pattern, erratic with no sound. It seemed to be suspended in flight at various positions. The craft was silent with high intensity blue arcing lights that fluctuated around the entire craft. These lights resembled electrical charges coming off an alternator's brushes.

Further observation revealed three larger lights in a triangle configuration dimly lit with variable output glowing blue to pale yellow. The UFO appeared triangular in shape, but this might be a deception as skin structure could not be seen. The FM radio receiver suffered extreme static during the event.

The craft changed locations in split seconds covering distances of approximately half a mile to over two miles instantaneously. At least four times it hovered for 30 seconds with longest delay of about a minute and a half over the southwestern boundary of Pensacola Naval Air Station.

One aircraft was launched from Sherman Field about three minutes into event but, seemed unaware of the UFO and turned away in an easterly direction. When the UFO was first observed a trailing sound of a helicopter could be heard but never could be observed. The UFO left the area after fifteen minutes at 8.12 pm local time moving west along the coast.

One witness suffered from nausea for about 10 minutes but the other was fine. "I have never seen a conventional craft operate in this manner nor has my friend." Thanks to Peter Davenport, UFO Reporting Centre.

PILOTS SPOT HUGE FLYING TRIANGLE.

Initial reports indicate an apparently very large triangle was seen by two commercial jets on October 26, 1999, over Western US. The planes were 100 miles from each other, and the object passed between them, and overtaking them, headed east.

Both pilots reported that the object was "huge". It was as big as their fists held at arm's length. Thanks to: Peter B. Davenport, Director National UFO Reporting Centre, PO Box 45623 University Station, Seattle, WA 98145 director@ufocenter.com

"FLYING TRIANGLES" - WHY THEY MAY INDEED BE SUBJECT TO A "D" NOTICE, © BY OMAR FOWLER.

It has lately been reported in UFO circles, that a BBC executive recently let slip the fact that a D-Notice has been placed on the subject of "*Flying Triangle*" craft. This matter has been the subject of previous rumours and speculation for a number of years. However, before we all start screaming "Cover-up and Censorship!" let us examine some of the information that has come to hand in recent months.

As the result of sifting through UFO reports and information from confidential sources, I have concluded that it is extremely likely that Britain has been working, in great secrecy, on the development of a triangular Stealth aircraft. Small black triangular craft have been seen with accompanying conventional aircraft on a number of occasions and may be controlled by a 'parent' craft.

THE FOLLOWING REPORT IS TAKEN FROM THE WIGAN REPORTER, PUBLISHED IN MID-AUGUST 1996:

At 1.30pm on Monday August 12, a number of people in the Wigan area heard a very noisy helicopter overhead. On rushing outside to look at the copter, they found that it was a *Chinook* twin rotor machine flying extremely low. It was following a small black matt triangular object about a quarter of a mile in front of it. The *Chinook* was moving very slowly and was followed about two minutes later by a *Jaguar* fighter that passed overhead as low as the copter - with its undercarriage down." (*In order to keep its speed as low as possible, no doubt!*)

A CONFIDENTIAL UFO REPORT THAT I INVESTIGATED IN JULY 1997 DEEPENED THE MYSTERY. THE INCIDENT TOOK PLACE NEAR RUGELY, STAFFS., AT NIGHT, NEAR THE HOME OF A MR. 'N'.

Mr. 'N' was working late at night (approx. 1am) in his home, which is situated near a heavily wooded area, when his attention was attracted by a noise "similar to a high-revving two-stroke engine" outside. He went outside in the darkness and vaguely made out lights and the shape of an object hovering about 150 yards away and at a height of about 150ft.

Mr. 'N' went indoors and fetched his binoculars and he was then able to make out the shape of a black helicopter in the vicinity of the lights. It so happened that Mr. 'N' was a bit of an electronics buff and had a night-vision-scope, with which he returned a few minutes later. (*Type Tasco, 1st generation type, with night scope and also infra-red beam emission).*

He then viewed the aircraft again and clearly saw the helicopter, which was illuminated by the flashing strobe and navigation lights. He described it as being similar to the "*Air Wolf*" helicopter in the television series. He then switched on the infra-red beam and immediately noticed that there was a completely blacked-out, triangular, craft adjacent to the helicopter. "I couldn't believe my eyes" he commented.

The triangle, which was a similar size to the helicopter, reflected no light from the strobe/navigation lights and appeared to have no visible means of propulsion or support

in the hovering mode. The witness stated that there was no apparent noise coming from the triangular craft, although the helicopter may have drowned this out.

Almost instantaneously, the helicopter switched on a light beam towards the witness (blinding his equipment), while at the same time moving away "at an incredible rate of knots. It disappeared like a rocket".

Mr. 'N' tried to follow it with his night-viewer, then suddenly realised that it was more important to study the triangular craft. He turned back to where it had been hovering, but it was gone! (*Mr. 'N' was sure that he had witnessed a secret aircraft and was petrified that he might be the subject of investigation if he related the incident to anyone. He eventually only mentioned it to two other close friends.)*

A FURTHER REPORT REACHED ME FROM "SKYWATCH INTERNATIONAL" AT SPILSBY, LINCS. THIS CONCERNED A SIGHTING BY A WITNESS ON THE NIGHT OF THE 17TH SEPTEMBER, 1998. THIS WITNESS SAID:

"I finished work at Louth at 10 pm and headed out on the B1502. It was 10.25 pm and I was about 4 miles past Ulceby Cross, when I saw something in the starry night sky that I thought looked a little odd. I saw what I believed to be two *Tornado* aircraft in company with a craft that, because of its lights, appeared to be of a triangle nature. On each point it had a bright green glow, rather than a green created by a light bulb.

The triangle completed flight manoeuvres that I had never seen before. As the two aircraft approached my car, the left aircraft tried to get ahead; the two aircraft passed over my car I looked up through my sunroof and noticed that the triangular craft was about three-quarters the size of the *Tornado* aircraft. I live near RAF Coningsby and I am familiar with *Tornado* aircraft."

FINALLY, ON 15TH AUGUST, 1997, I RECEIVED SOME INFORMATION FROM A CONFIDANT WHO REVEALED DETAILS OF A SURPRISING CONVERSATION AT A RECENT AERONAUTICAL SHOW:

Source: "In absolute confidence please. I deal with aviation products, I do Pilot Supplies, Satellite Navigation Equipment, I retail various products. We did an exhibition at Christmas, at 'XXXXX'. This chappie came to see me and we were talking about aircraft. I gather that he is a civilian, in his sixties and ex-military, and he commented that he had flown a lot of aircraft, all sorts of aircraft. We were talking about aircraft and I sprang the question on him that I do with all sorts of people, '*do you believe in UFOs*?'

Source: "He said to me -'what do you mean?", I replied that we had heard of people that have had quite a few sightings of the Flying Triangle. **'Yes', he said 'Yes, I make them.' I said "How do you mean 'I make them'? 'Well, let me put it this way' he said, 'we are actually making triangular-shaped craft in this country, but we are also aware of what we would call extra-terrestrial craft flying over this country.' He repeated, when questioned, 'We are aware that there are triangular craft flying over this country, that are not of this world!'**

"At this, I moved him away from the other customers, as this was a private conversation. I said to him, 'do you mind me asking you one question, 'where are you located?' I said. 'I can't tell you that' he said. I said, **'I'll ask you one other question. What is the chance of me visiting your factory? 'Absolutely not,' he said 'We're not Top Secret, we're levels above Top Secret.' 'We have materials now, in this country, with which we could manufacture *stealth* aircraft that would be virtually undetectable.'**

Source: 'Undetectable by what means, I don't know, visual, night vision, radar, I don't know.' He complained to me that quite frankly, 'there are too many so-and-sos in London sitting on their backsides, who are not releasing funds to us, but we have the technology to lead the world in *Stealth* aircraft' Then he said, 'our aircraft are telemetrically controlled?' (*This would account for the widely reported 'parent' aircraft).*

Source: 'That is 100% the truth, but please don't mention my name. He seemed a very sincere fellow. I can't disclose his name for obvious reasons, but yes, that's what I was told.'"

In conclusion, we must accept that the placing of a "*D-Notice*" on the subject of Flying Triangles could well have taken place to guard the secret development of a British *Stealth* aircraft and not as the censorship of information on extra-terrestrial FTs. After all, which is the more important from the Government point of view?

(-and what could possibly have been more logical? A marvellous way for the Government to confuse the issue and strengthen the cover-up over the Alien Triangles! G.C.)■

THE CHALLENGE OF THE SAUCERS. © BY STANTON T. FRIEDMAN, Ph.D., NUCLEAR PHYSICIST.

(79 Pembroke Crescent, Fredericton, N.B. Canada, E3B 2VI. Email: fsphys@brunnet.net)

[We have not yet encountered anyone else who delivers his evidence with so much verve and so much "punch" as this distinguished and highly experienced and qualified Canadian researcher, and long-term friend and supporter of FSR.

We recall with pleasure that on two occasions, when Mr. Friedman had been slandered in this country, we were able to be of help to him. In the first case, in a Northern English court, damages were paid by J. Randles and a Manchester newspaper.

In the second case, Mr. Friedman was slandered by the Director of the Royal Geographical Society, who had suggested that Friedman had no scientific qualifications and that talk of UFOs was nonsense. In this case I was able to secure the immediate advice of a prominent QC, one of the best in Britain, and a public apology to Mr. Stanton was the result.

We are not a bit surprised to find that many folk are so upset by Mr. Friedman's robust and forthright campaign on behalf of the truth, and it is good to know that if it comes to a law court, or looks like coming to court, he is unlikely to lose!

You may note one interesting point about Mr. Friedman. He generally talks about "*flying saucers*" or "*flying discs", and not about "UFOs"*, because he remembers and knows, as we at FSR remember and know, that those were the original terms used, in the first few years after Kenneth Arnold's sighting, in the summer of 1947, in all the press reports throughout the U.S.A. and in all the documents and reports of the U.S. Government and its Military and Intelligence Services, regarding the coming of these new and unknown devices.

Stanton knows, as we at FSR have always known, that the term "UFO" was deliberately introduced by Captain Ruppelt of ***Blue Book****, on express instructions from above, because it* **was a complete misnomer and because it was vague and, hopefully, would carry the minds of the public one step away from reality.**

On at least two occasions, in our private FSR meetings in Britain with Dr. J. Allen Hynek, I recall having heard him exclaim vehemently: "But they're not UFOs! They are IFOs - Identified flying objects - *identified as somebody else's!*"

That this idiotic term "UFO" has been allowed to take over and has been adopted so slavishly simply shows what bloody fools so many folk are, and how easily they have let themselves be brainwashed and manipulated by the U.S. Government! (Maybe on express instructions from Alien Controllers?).

We all know now of course that not all of the craft or devices seen are saucer-shaped, and no doubt it would be helpful if we could find another blanket term. **But certainly "UFO" is ridiculous, and we ought to start to get rid of the term.** EDITOR, FSR]

STANTON FRIEDMAN'S ARTICLE.

As a nuclear physicist who has had a serious interest in flying saucers since 1958, I have reached four major conclusions:-

1. The evidence is overwhelming that Planet Earth is being visited by intelligently controlled extraterrestrial spacecraft. In other words, *some* UFOs are alien spacecraft. Most are not.

2. **The subject of flying saucers represents a kind of Cosmic Watergate, meaning that some few people in major governments have known since July, 1947, when two crashed saucers and several alien bodies were recovered in New Mexico, that indeed *SOME* UFOs are ET. As noted in 1950, it's the most classified U.S. topic. ***

3. None of the arguments made against conclusions one and two by a small group of debunkers such as Carl Sagan, my University of Chicago classmate for 3 years, can stand up to careful scrutiny.

4. **The Flying Saucer story is the biggest story of the Millenium; of visits to Planet Earth by aliens and of U.S. Government coverup of the best data, of the bodies and wreckage, for 53 years.**

Since 1967, I have lectured on the subject "Flying Saucers *ARE Real*" at more than 600 colleges and over 100 professional groups in all 50 US States, 9 Canadian Provinces, 12 cities of England and 12 other countries, with only 11 hecklers. I have also appeared on hundreds of radio and TV shows. Overall, I have probably answered about 35,000 questions about saucers and secrecy.

It is clear that over 97% of the people have NOT read any of the 5 major scientific studies I discuss, and are unaware of the mountains of evidence that support my conclusions. They are also unaware of the scientific data, as opposed to tabloid nonsense.

However, it is also clear from the Opinion Polls and my own experience, that indeed most people accept the notion that *SOME* UFOs are alien spacecraft. **The greater the education, the MORE likely to accept this proposition.**

In an October 25, 1995, Oxford University Union Debate on the resolution "*Planet Earth is being visited by intelligent extraterrestrial life*", the affirmative side, of which I was a part, garnered 60% of Debate Union Member votes on the question. 92% of 100,000 people calling during a TV Debate in London on June 27, 1997, said Earth has been visited by aliens!

The problem is NOT that there is not enough evidence to justify my conclusions, but that most people, especially the noisy negativists, are unaware of the real, non-tabloid, evidence.

DEBUNKERS SEEM TO EMPLOY FOUR MAJOR RULES:

A. WHAT THE PUBLIC DOESN'T KNOW, WE CERTAINLY WON'T TELL THEM. THE LARGEST OFFICIAL USAF UFO STUDY ISN'T EVEN MENTIONED IN 13 ANTI-UFO BOOKS, THOUGH ALL THE BOOK AUTHORS WERE AWARE OF IT.

B. DON'T BOTHER ME WITH THE FACTS, MY MIND IS MADE UP.

C. IF ONE CAN'T ATTACK THE DATA, ATTACK THE PEOPLE. IT IS EASIER.

D. DO ONE'S RESEARCH BY PROCLAMATION RATHER THAN INVESTIGATION. IT IS MUCH EASIER, AND NOBODY WILL KNOW THE DIFFERENCE ANYWAY.

Many major media people will concede that, if, indeed, aliens are visiting Earth, that would be a major story. But because they take great pride in their own knowledge of major stories, they imagine that if this were happening,

they would know about it. But they don't. Therefore anybody who says visits are real must be a crackpot!

I have noted 4 major reasons why the big names in science and journalism haven't jumped on the pro-saucer bandwagon:-

1. IGNORANCE OF THE DATA. Scratch a debunker and one usually finds somebody who is putting down what he is not up on.

2. FEAR OF RIDICULE IN SPONSORING A THESIS (only about 10 have been done relating to UFOs) if a professor, or sponsoring a detailed reportorial investigation, if an editor. I check all my audiences and find that, while in agreement with polls, 10% have had a sighting, only 5 - 10% of these witnesses have been willing to report what they saw. *Biggest reason? -Fear of ridicule.*

3. EGO. If aliens were visiting Earth, they would call a press conference or ask to talk to the National Academy of Sciences. They haven't, *so aliens must not be visiting.* **Flying saucers finish the job Copernicus started in taking man out of the middle of the Universe. Priests fought Copernicus's ideas. Today guys in lab coats, rather than priestly robes, fight alien visitations.**

4. FAILURE TO USE OUR KNOWLEDGE OF TECHNOLOGY TO UNDERSTAND ALIEN BEHAVIOUR. *"It is impossible" is said, rather than "I don't know how".* Despite the absurd claims of certain ancient academics and fossilized physicists, it is clear, on the basis of solid engineering studies, that trips to nearby stars are feasible with round trip times shorter than the average person's life time, using, for example, staged fission and fusion propulsion systems, on both of which I have worked.

It is clear that technological progress comes from doing things differently in an unpredictable way. The history of science is littered with absurd impossibility claims made by people who know nothing about the job at hand.

5. THE CULT OF S.E.T.I. (Silly Effort to Investigate) with its crazy notions that nobody would travel, but that aliens, stuck at the level of radio, are trying to attract our attention, mocks the notion of flying saucers, not dealing with the evidence, but by proclamations about the absence of evidence. This ignores science.

I prove at every lecture that the NSA and CIA are withholding saucer data. Having worked under security for 14 years, and visited 19 document archives, and having become aware of the huge black budgets for the NSA, NRO, CIA, DIA, etc., I know how easy it is to keep secrets.

My 22 years of study about crashed saucers and my 16 about the original Majestic-12 documents have convinced me these are real. The challenge for us all, as we enter the new Millenium, is to recognize that our future is in Space, but we are not alone. I truly hope we qualify for admission to the Cosmic Kindergarten.

NOTE BY EDITOR OF FSR:

*Mr. Friedman is here referring to the work of yet another scientist (also a Canadian) who played an important role, and who is now rapidly being forgotten. He was Wilbert Smith, MA., MS., P.Eng., Canada's top expert in matters electrical and magnetic.

In the very early years after Kenneth Arnold's famous sighting of 1947 -probably in 1950, as Friedman here suggests- Wilbert Smith was in the U.S.A. on official business and, while in Washington D.C. he managed to learn, from his American scientific friends, of the amazing business of the "UFO Secret".

Returning to Canada, he wrote a report for his departmental chiefs on what he had learned, and he used the now famous phrase that the UFO business was now "super-secret in the U.S.A. **-more secret than the Atom Bomb (Project Manhattan**.)"

In 1953, at Shirley Bay, not far from Ottawa, in a region where, as I myself recall, there had been some quite extraordinary UFO happenings, including landings, the Canadian Government set up the world's "first ever" (!) official flying saucer research station, which however they decided to close down not much later when they found that awkward questions about it all had been asked in the Canadian Parliament!

The Canadian Government also had a project, headed by Wilbert Smith, to try to construct a flying disc powered by electromagnetic means. It seems likely that this was the same project as the one about which there was later so much publicity -the scheme for Avro Aviation Company to build in Canada a big saucer-type of craft.

The name of **John Frost** was associated with it (possibly the designer?) and there were speeches made about the scheme by the Canadian Government's Minister of Transport, Howell. In the end it seems that nothing came of it all.

Subsequently Wilbert Smith was the Superintendent of Radio Regulations Engineering in the Department of Transport at Ottawa.

We published a total of eight long articles about UFOs by Wilbert Smith in FSR. He died on December 27, 1962. G.C.■

VICTIM MENTALITY IN ABDUCTEES: AN UNACCEPTABLE CONCEPT. © An Essay by ANN DRUFFEL, (California), FSR Consultant.

[This article was first published in the *MUFON UFO JOURNAL* for May 2000, and has been revised slightly by the author for the overseas issue in FSR. Editor FSR.]

In August 1998 my most recent book, *HOW TO DEFEND YOURSELF AGAINST ALIEN ABDUCTION*, was published by Three Rivers Press, a "How To" division of Random House, followed closely by a U.K. edition in November 1998 from Piatkus Publishers Limited. Better publishers could not have been found - the finest editors

and class-act books which covered twenty-five years of personal research on the UFO abduction phenomenon. For a year afterward, and continuing full speed today, the book receives publicity on radio and TV. It has been reviewed favourably in numerous UFO and parasychology journals, and in newstand magazines in the U.S. and the U.K.

In spite of the fact that the new concept presented in the book is of supreme importance to the UFO field, certain influential UFO researchers have remained strangely silent. This is in stark contrast to favourable reviews by top objective researchers - Dwight Connelly in *MUFON UFO JOURNAL*, Jennie Zeidman in *INTERNATIONAL UFO REPORTER*, Tim Owen and Paul Norman in *AUSTRALIAN UFO NEWSLETTER*, Gordon Creighton in *FLYING SAUCER REVIEW* among others.

During a magic 2½ hours with Art Bell on *COAST TO COAST*, I fielded intelligent questions from numerous listeners and will presently appear on *DREAMLAND* with Whitley Strieber. All this demonstrates the interest in the subject of "resisters" who successfully tell the abduction phenomenon, whatever it is, to go away and quit bothering them.

Many abduction researchers in various countries have sent letters of agreement and encouragement, and my data base of "resisters" grows rapidly as many abductees continue to share their experiences, containing approximately 100 cases to date. Yet a few top abduction researchers continue to ignore the new concept and message in the book, namely, that stout-hearted witnesses and abductees can and do fend off so-called "UFO aliens", and even permanently rid themselves of the visitations.

In conversation at the annual Laramie Conference last year with a well-known author and abduction researcher, he told me that he came across "resisters" in his case load but did not elaborate how he handled this information. I know for a fact that other researchers come across resisters, but these cases somehow never make it into print. Do these particular researchers dismiss "resisters" because they don't fit in with their own hypotheses? If so, I feel that this attitude is unscientific. In science, don't we work with all data that comes to us from rational, honest sources and not try to "screen out" what is unacceptable to us?

Curious as to why certain researchers have been ignoring the new concept and message in *HOW TO DEFEND YOURSELF AGAINST ALIEN ABDUCTION*, I recently sent friendly letters, copies of the book and related bio/résumé/bibliographical material to four of those who had been so silent, with a simple request for feedback and input. None of the four responded. Their silence was so deafening that it allowed valuable insight to seep into my brain - information which seems so startling that I feel impelled to share it with you. Namely: **Certain factors in the UFO field apparently *do not want* instances of successful resistance to come out.** If they ignore the book and its new concept, will they perhaps not be tempted to try to advise their own traumatized abductees that resistance may be possible?

Perhaps they do not believe that resistance works and so don't want abductees to even consider it for fear they will "fail" and emerge doubly traumatized? **Or do they perhaps sincerely believe that experiencers have *no right* to resist? What other reasons would prevent researchers from learning vital, new information about a phenomenon on which they have laboured for decades?**

All four "silent" researchers I refer to are Americans working mainly with American abductees. I do not know if any researchers in the U.K. share these thoughts, but practically nothing has been written in overseas journals, either, about successful resistance. Do researchers truly feel that the abduction phenomenon is so overpowering that it is able to overthrow the principles of freedom on which democracies and republics worldwide were founded - the same principles of freedom from fear and oppression which guide our everyday lives?

Do these researchers really believe that self-determination, right of privacy and other principles of individual freedom no longer apply? Do they truly think that we are now in the hands (or claws) of whitish, frail, large-eyed humanoids whose source is, at best, uncertain? What kind of nonsense is this? I think it is "nonsense" being fed to us by the phenomenon itself, whatever it is.

Where in the great works of history, philosophy, and theology does it say that other orders of beings can tamper with us, guide our destinies or are responsible for us in any way? Say what you will, authors like Von Däniken and Sitchin are not the equivalent of Plato, Aristotle, Thomas Aquinas, Muhammad, Buddha and other world thinkers, (not to mention one other who is held to be far greater than any of these! -G.C).

The theories put forth by these top-profile abduction researchers are conspicuously contradictory, but they do not seem to recognize this among themselves. There is a "oneness" among them which is difficult to understand. Some of them theorize (or are actually convinced) that extraterrestrial creatures are hybridizing humans against their will so that they can take over our planet.

Others theorize (or are convinced) that these creatures are somehow responsible, in the great order of things, for evolving the human race and bringing us to "higher spirituality"? These gentler thinkers do not explain why the creatures first traumatize victims with unpleasant interactions, then eventually lead the abductees to "love" them. According to this theory, the creatures' painful interference is really "good" for the human race, and abductees should go along with it because the creatures "know what is best for us."!

TWO SEPARATE PHENOMENA?

The data is overwhelming that unidentified, possible extraterrestrial, *craft* seem to be monitoring mankind. These demonstrably physical UFOs reported by credible witnesses constitute a serious scientific question which has regrettably been covered up by governments and ignored by official Science.

THE REAL "UFOS".

However, in my considered opinion, UFO phenomena

can be separated into two separate groups:

Craft-like UFOs which are caught on radar, chased by jet pilots, and sometimes photographed, appear to be physical in our earth's space-time, but in these cases little or no interaction with any "occupants" is noted.

So-called "alien abductions", on the other hand, generally take place in altered states of consciousness and are retrieved in large part through hypnotic regression.

Claims of "genetic manipulation", "missing foetuses", "implants" and "alien-military co-operation" lack solid, scientific proof of extraterrestrial involvement, although I concede that some so-called "implants", "scars" and the like *might* be due to the creatures' ability to materialize temporarily into our space-time.

But the proliferation and escalation of abduction claims seem more likely caused by 1. telepathic leakage during hypnosis between witnesses and hypnotist/researchers; and 2. psychological deception by the phenomenon itself.

The logical question to all this is: Why is the trauma necessary? If these creatures are really "in charge of us" why don't they approach us with kind logic from the beginning? Another logical question: As an American, I have to ask: Whatever happened to our individual rights of self-determination and liberty?

The same question can be logically asked by citizens of many other countries. To me, both theories in the UFO field outlined above consider these creatures to be "in charge of us".

This idea contradicts the principles of freedom of the individual. It flies in the face of the American "Declaration of Independence", the English "Magna Carta", the French Revolution and every other instance in which a nation of free-thinking peoples have emerged from rag-tag armies of revolutionaries or serfs.

If any reader thinks I am emphasizing "human rights" too much, consider this: Police detectives who investigate horrible cases of rape, for example, do not think of our "inalienable rights." They are simply outraged by the immoral act that has been perpetrated upon the victims.

Alien abduction scenarios are basicallly the same as rape and other criminal attacks. The fact that they take place in an altered state of consciousness does not matter here. Abduction scenarios are very real to the experiencers; they constitute a type of *altered reality*, the effects of which extends into their physical lives.

The nine resistance techniques described in *HOW TO DEFEND YOURSELF..* enable traumatized experiencers to break the altered state of consciousness in which abduction scenarios occur. Once the altered state is broken and the person returns to full waking consciousness, the creatures vanish and the scenario ends.

My book gives multiple examples of actual documented case studies which illustrate in detail the way in which the techniques are used successfully, and it also describes the psychological concepts and personality traits which seem to be involved in successful resistance.

I might gratefully add that Captain Edgar J. Mitchell, U.S. astronaut and sixth man to walk on the Moon, endorses my book on the cover thus: "This is a very powerful book because it shows the deep psychological component of the UFO experience. Druffel's research does us all a great service." As the founder of the Institute of Noetic Sciences and author of *THE WAY OF THE EXPLORER*, Captain Mitchell's statement was gratefully received.

THE JINNS, SIDHE, FAIRIES, ELEMENTALS, ETC.

HOW TO DEFEND YOURSELF AGAINST ALIEN ABDUCTION also presents a working hypothesis to explain why resistance techniques work so well against entities which present themselves as technologically superior extra-extraterrestrials. The hypothesis is this:

Abductees are possibly interacting with interdimensional beings which have been described in philosophical, historical and religious writings, and in folklore and legend, from every major culture of the world and in minor cultures as well.

These beings reportedly delight in harrassing and deceiving human beings, often in a sexual manner. Specific examples are the "jinns" described in the Koran, the Celtic "Sidhe" or "faery folk", the "incubi" of Europe, the "Old Hag" in Newfoundland and the "elementals" in Buddhist cultures.

They are also known by many other names in many different languages. They are described in Malaysia and Indonesia, in Iceland, by the aboriginals of Australia and by various Native American tribes. Because these beings reportedly have the ability to shapeshift, it is surmised that they have now taken on a form which would logically frighten even modern, technically-advanced human beings.

The creatures reported in folklore and legends, *as well as in present-day accounts,* have at least eight characteristics in common with our so-called "UFO alien abductors". All of them reportedly:-

1. **Materialize and de-materialize**;
2. **Harass human beings**, frequently in a **sexual** manner;
3. Reportedly **"steal" human babies** and/or **unborn foetuses**;
4. **Distort** the witnesses' **perception of time**;
5. **Pass through solid matter**;
6. **Enter temporarily into Earth space-time**, sometimes leaving physical traces;
7. **Shape-shift** into various forms and sizes including, at times, animals;
8. **Exist normally on** what is described as a "**hidden plane**" which co-exists alongside our normal earthly space-time.

All these world cultures have developed means to resist these creatures, and many of their techniques are similar to the techniques used by the seventy-two (72) resisters described in my book. So why shouldn't abductees in modern cultures be able to fend them off as well? The answer? -They **can**, and they **do**.

It is crucial that adequate attention be given to the concept of successful resistance. "Resisters" are able to live happy, productive lives, free of the trauma and other negative effects caused by their prior experiences.

The concept of resistance is spreading: Several

research sources are speaking about it on the Internet, and letters from a few researchers who have begun to teach resistance techniques to abductees are passing over my desk. I would hope that a continuing dialogue on the subject will be started in *FLYING SAUCER REVIEW* to counteract the silence of the few colleagues aforementioned.

HOW TO DEFEND YOURSELF AGAINST ALIEN ABDUCTION gives detailed case examples and practical pointers to persons experiencing abduction trauma and lets them know that they are not helpless victims. Free people around the world should not have to feel that they are victims of anyone or anything.

Human beings are neither: (1) victims being taken over against their will; **nor** (2) frail creatures who need help in being evolved.

Human beings everywhere **can,** with **bold assurance**, fight against **anything we need to fight against**, and **win!■**

UFOS: A DEMONIC CONSPIRACY.
© BY Fr. THOMAS KULP (WISCONSIN).

[There are still a surprisingly large number of people who seem to take all UFO reports with complete equanimity and, as it were, refuse to see anything "nasty" anywhere in it. Then, on the other hand, there are others, like Subdeacon Paul Inglesby and Cyril Marystone and Father Thomas Kulp, the author of this article, who take the opposite view and hold that every single case, without any exception whatsoever, is something straight out of Satan's bag.

And, finally, there are also a few of us who think that the truth of the matter probably lies somewhere in the middle, and that by the very nature of things, there are bound to be some "*Goodies*" (i.e. the ANGELIC FORCES) somewhere around.

We shall have to go on probing all possible aspects of the question until we begin to feel that we can see a bit more clearly. (For example, while I feel as strongly as anyone that there really are absolutely demonic forces active in and among mankind, there are sudden new hints, new angles, and so on, which suggest that possibly even the "Little Greys", so much abhorred by so many of us **so far**, *might not* be the real Demonic Enemies after all! But more of this later!)

Father Thomas Kulp is a priest of the Orthodox Church, and lives in the State of Wisconsin, USA, with his wife and seven children. Paul Inglesby, MA, (Oxon.) and a former Lt. Commander in the Royal Navy, is a Sub-Deacon, also in the Orthodox Church.

As Fr. Kulp declares, many UFO researchers have noted the similarities that seem to exist between the historic descriptions of demonic attack and the current accounts of "alien abductions", and he concludes that this is no coincidence.

The copyrighted article which we give below was originally in the U.S. publication FATE, for April 1996, and appears now in FSR by the permission and courtesy of both Father Kulp and Mr. Craig Miller, Associate Editor of FATE MAGAZINE, P.O. Box 64383, St. Paul, MN 55164-0303, U.S.A. -EDITOR, FSR]

Since the term "flying saucer" was coined in the 1940s, the UFO phenomenon has intrigued and mystified serious investigators and has profoundly influenced the imaginative content of popular culture. Of particular interest are the numerous reported encounters with aliens. There can no longer be any doubt that something significant is happening. On the whole, the reported incidents can neither be regarded as hoaxes, nor as some bizarre form of collective hallucination.

Must we conclude, then, as many have, that extraterrestrial beings are visiting Earth? **This is, in fact, the message that has been communicated by the aliens themselves on various occasions, a message often cloaked in New Age terminology. A common motif is that Earth is on the verge of a dire catastrophe from which the aliens, as representatives of a more highly evolved race, can save us.**

This is the stuff of science fiction. It is, of course, comforting to believe that we are not alone, that there are beings somewhere out there older and wiser than ourselves, ready and willing to save us from our own folly. Perhaps we should cast aside the apocalyptic prophecies of doom and prepare ourselves for a new age of universal peace and brotherhood. Does this scenario seem too good to be true? As the saying goes, it probably is.

Indeed, as Whitley Strieber writes concerning his own experiences, "The beings I was encountering weren't the wise and benevolent creatures that films like *Close Encounters* might have led one to expect. They were absolutely devastating...."

Strieber relates how he awoke in the middle of the night and sensed a presence in his room. "I felt an absolutely indescribable sense of menace. It was hell on Earth to be there, and yet I couldn't move, couldn't cry out, and couldn't get away. I lay as still as death, suffering inner agonies. Whatever was there seemed so monstrously ugly, so filthy and dark and sinister. Of course they were demons. They had to be."

In the end, however, Strieber rejected the possibility that these beings could really be demons. He did so, I suspect, not because of the evidence, but rather because the concept of the demonic simply does not fit into his worldview. In fact, this prejudice against an objective personification of evil is widespread today, even while the idea of angels is enjoying a renewed popularity.

Nevertheless, if we are indeed being visited by

extraterrestrial beings, no unified and coherent hypothesis has yet been offered to explain the multifarious worldwide manifestations of alien contact.

For a while, it appeared that perhaps the visitors were engaged in little more than a routine geological survey of Earth. Beings have been observed taking samples of rocks and other objects many times over a period spanning decades.

Then suddenly we discover that the aliens are not simply taking rock samples. They are abducting humans and conducting scientific tests, taking samples of blood and semen, even conducting breeding experiments between humans and the aliens. The reports come pouring in from around the world, becoming more and more bizarre.

Running through the data of purported encounters, however, is a definite thread of the irrational and absurd. Often the observed behaviour of the beings makes no apparent sense, or is downright silly.

Consider an incident that occurred near Buenos Aires in 1968. A teenaged boy was approached by two *seemingly* ordinary men -except for their unblinking gaze and their transparent legs. They told him, *"You are going to know the world."*

They promised to take him on a flying saucer, which they showed him, and then gave him an ordinary envelope and told him to dip it in a puddle. When the boy did so, this message appeared in a very juvenile script: *"You are going to know the world. F. Saucer".*

It can be hypothesized that the purpose of the innate absurdity and sheer overwhelming quality of UFO encounters is mental confusion and a realignment of human consciousness. In other words, there is a conscious agency involved that is attempting to subtly alter and control human thought patterns and beliefs.

If this is so, then the UFOs, even if they possess a real physical dimension, (which has not so far been convincingly demonstrated), are at least in part a psychic manifestation.

According to Strieber, *"Precognition, apparent telepathy, out-of-the-body perceptions, and even physical levitation, are commonplace side-effects of contact with the visitors."* Indeed, experiences of alien contact seem to involve altered states of consciousness, an almost dreamlike trance state -despite the apparent reality of the events described.

Moreover, the psychic repercussions upon those whom I can only describe as victims are often devastating, leading to confusion, obsession, disruption of life patterns, and even -in extreme cases- suicide. Can beings responsible for so much mental and spiritual anguish be truly characterized as benevolent or even morally neutral?

EVIL ALIENS.

Let's consider several cases that seem to suggest a non-physical dimension to the UFO phenomenon. In 1955, near Riverside, California, children observed a disk-shaped object spinning overhead. Subsequently, there appeared a "recurring series of spectral-like shapes.... They were semi-transparent."

In 1963, in Kent, England, four teenagers watch a glowing ovalloid descend into a wood. Suddenly, "A shambling human-sized figure with no head but with bat-like wings appeared and moved toward them...."

In 1952, a UFO was sighted landing in Flatwoods, West Virginia, "and when the witnesses surged forward to see what had happened, a monstrous spectral-like figure with a blood-red face and glowing greenish-orange eyes floated down the hill toward the by now terrified observers." In past centuries, these apparitions would have been unequivocally labelled as demonic. **Now, in our supposedly more enlightened age, we fail to see the obvious.**

In 1967, in Surrey, England, a young couple stopped their car in an isolated spot and observed a hideous creature through the window, accompanied by an odour as of burning meat or a stink bomb. It is interesting that a bad egg smell (hydrogen sulphide) has been reported in numerous cases, considering that such an odour has long been associated with demonic manifestations.

More dramatic is a case that occurred on October 25, 1973, in Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania. The main victim of what appears to have been a classic case of demonic infestation was a young man named Steven Pulaski, but also present was a law officer and, later on that night, a group of UFO investigators.

The affair began when a bright red ball was seen hovering over a field. As it descended, its colour changed to bright white. Next, two strange bestial creatures appeared. Someone fired a gun at the monsters and they were apparently hit, but with no result.

Throughout the night, these elusive creatures continued making their presence known. Witnesses glimpsed the creatures and heard strange sounds coming from the woods. At one point, a strong sulphur odour was detected by the observers.

It was then that Steven himself began behaving like a wild beast, as one possessed, having gone totally beserk. It was during this fugue that he recited prophecies of doom in a mocking tone of voice, accompanied by derisive laughter.

I would suggest that in instances such as this one, the demonic element responsible for the UFO phenomenon is showing its true face. At the very least, there is a sinister, dark side to these preternatural experiences that must be seriously considered.

Yet if we are indeed dealing with predominantly psychic manifestations, we must be very cautious of accepting as objective reality the outward appearances.

We should be aware, too, of traditional signs of demonic presence. For example, UFO occupants have been observed to avoid light, as in Hopkinsville, Kentucky, in 1955, where the beings always approached from the dark side of the house and not when outside lights were on

In other cases, alien beings accost victims at crossroads, which are traditionally associated with an aura of danger and the demonic. Crosses were often placed at crossroads for this very reason. In general, the fear and confusion so often infused into the minds of victims is a sign of demonic presence.

DEMONIC ORIGINS.

At this point, a word about the origin and nature of demons should be useful. According to Christian traditions, based on works by Milton and others, God created orders of so-called bodiless powers, including angels and archangels, before creating humans.

These active, intelligent spirits were intended to serve and glorify God. Like all rational beings, these invisible, angelic spirits possessed free will. It transpired that one of them, Lucifer, rebelled against God. Blinded by pride, he thought to exalt himself above the throne of God.

Then, having won over one third of the angelic beings to his side, he entered into a disastrous war against Archangel Michael and the other angels who had remained loyal to God.

As a result, Lucifer and his confederates were cast out of Heaven. Henceforth, Lucifer -now known as Satan, or the Devil- became the leader of a vast army of fallen angels known as demons. No longer capable of choosing good, these fallen angels have become irrevocably evil, eternally at war agains God.

Christian lore also holds that God created people to take the place of the fallen spirits. We were destined to achieve blessed immortality in union with God.

Thus Satan and his demons, consumed with jealousy and bitterness toward the human race, tempted our First Parents in the Garden of Eden. Believing the deception of the "serpent," Adam and Eve fell from their original blesed state and were cast out of the Garden.

Ever since, the demons have been engaged in continual warfare against the human race, ever striving to drag us down to their own level, causing us to stray from the path of truth that alone leads to eternal salvation.

Humans were also created with free will. God does not permit Satan to coerce us. The Devil can have no power over us unless we ourselves give it, willingly accepting Satan's deceptions and thus rejecting God's Law.

Therefore, the demons have become masters of deception, capable of assuming any form, even appearing, as St. Paul assures us, as "Angels of light." *

Nor should the apparent mingling of physical and psychic maifestations in the UFO phenomenon surprise us. As one noted spiritual authority observes, "Demons also have 'physical bodies,' although the 'matter' in them is of such subtlety that it cannot be perceived by men unless their spritual 'doors of perception' are opened, whether with God's will as in the case of holy men or against it, as in the case of holy men or against it (as in the case of sorcerers and mediums."

There is not a single UFO incident on record that cannot be explained as a demonic deception or apparition.

What is not always clear is the motivating purpose behind some of these bizarre manifestations. For example, I must admit that the whole breeding experiment scenario baffles me -except that these incidents do confuse and profoundly frighten the victims, thereby opening the human mind a bit more to the intrusion of the demonic.

What does seem clear, from a spiritual perspective, is that the ultimate purpose of the UFO phenomenon is to help prepare the collective consciousness of the human race for the coming of Antichrist as foretold in the Bible.

All signs point to the fact that the end of human history is at hand. The final stage is to be the universal reign of the Antichrist, who will create a new world order and a false one-world religion. Those who through demonic deception will worship the Antichrist will be lost, while all others will be persecuted.

A prophetic leader, Bishop Ignatius Brianchaninov, wrote in the last century, "*The miracles of Antichrist will be chiefly manifested in the aerial realm* where Satan chiefly has his dominion.* The signs will act most of all on the sense of sight, charming and deceiving. Again, the Antichrist will even make fire to come down out of Heaven upon the Earth in the sight of men."

I submit that the demonic explanation for the UFO phenomenon is inherently no less likely than any other explanation that has been offered so far.

If we are open-minded enough to at least accept the possibility of demons, then a great deal that is presently obscure becomes understandable.

There is no lack of evidence and testimony regarding the reality of demons in Christian spiritual literature during the past two millenia. We should be most foolish to discard it all as mere antiquated relics of the past, while accepting as gospel the myriad New Age teachings that have permeated our society over recent decades.

Now,more than ever, it is imperative that we be wise and sober, discerning the spirits to make sure that they are truly of God, refusing to be led astray by the deceptive illusions of the Evil One.

NOTE BY EDITOR, FSR.

* See my article, *What St. Paul is actually reported to have said*, in FSR 42/2.■

GEORGE FAWCETT JOINS ROSWELL MUSEUM GROUP.

One of FSR's earliest and most steady supporters in the United States has been George D. Fawcett of Lincolnton, N. Carolina, internationally known as an indefatigable UFO investigator.

Over five decades he has written one book, *Quarter Century Studies of UFOs in Florida, North Carolina and Tennessee* (1975), and over 100 research articles and papers.

He also founded and served as chief advisor to no less than five civilian UFO study groups: *New England UFO Study Group (1957), Pennsylvania and New Jersey Two-State UFO Study Group (1965), Florida UF0 Study Group (1968), Tar Heel UFO Study Group (1973),* and the *Mutual UFO network of North Carolina, Inc. (1990).* He has also taught college courses on UFOs and given over 600 lectures to societies and groups.

Two unique specialised long-range research reports by him are: *What we have learned from UFO repetitions (1947 - 1984),* and *Human reactions to UFOs and UFOnauts Worldwide (1940-1983).* These are available

from his office, 602 Battleground Road, Lincolnton, North Carolina 28092, $21 in the USA and $23 for Overseas. (Cheque, money order or cash in US dollars).

A report dated November 25, 1999, in the *Roswell Daily Record* newspaper, states that George has now been appointed to the advisory group to the Board of Directors of the International UFO Museum and Research Centre at Roswell.

(Incidentally it is only right that I should take the opportunity to put on record the fact that the Librarian of this Museum, which has received a lot of FSRs, has done a simply wonderful job for me by supplying to us, at no cost, the complete microfilms of FSR for the years 1959 to 1969. We can only be immensely grateful to the Museum for their generosity. They have also said they will do all they can to promote FSR and to make us better known in the United States.)

[On a slightly facetious note, which I trust will give offence to nobody, I find it difficult to resist the temptation to add a word or two about *museums*. Frankly, I cannot regard this idea of *humans* setting up a museum about beings from other worlds as anything but wildly hilarious and ludicrous! What presumptious critters we are!

Given the deeper state of general barbarity into which the warring and squabbling tribes of *Homo Sapiens* (self-dubbed of course) are rapidly sinking, I should have thought it an awful lot more probable that somewhere, far off in the Pleiades or whatever, it is *they* who are going to have sumptiously established museums filled with stuffed and mounted specimens of *us*, and all our racial and tribal types, accompanied by neat little cards describing some or other of our more revolting habits.] G.C.■

THE "HOOCH" BLEW UP. (Translation from Spanish. G.C.) *EVIDENCIA OVNI* No. 21 (1999)

In one of his reports from Puerto Rico, Jorge Martín tells us that he interviewed a man named Rubén Cintrón, from the Municipal Area of Yauco, who stated that at Christmas time in 1972 he and some friends had been out on a hillside one night, brewing some home-made *illegal rum,* when a UFO descended on them, shone a beam on to their little distilling apparatus, and the still exploded.

Beware of entities who are in league with the Excise Men!■

MAIL BAG.

To the Editor, Flying Saucer Review -26th April 2000.

Hello, my name is Mathew Sitler, and I am a subscriber to FSR. Also, I am a journalist working for the *Minden Times* (Canada), and I have recently done an interview with British ufologist, Jenny Randles.

In the piece she talks at length about why there is such a discrepancy between "official" Science's response to the UFO question, and the work that some scientists are doing on the subject. I would enjoy seeing it published in FSR.

Best regards, Mathew Sitler.
R.R. No. 3 Deep Bay Road,
MINDEN, Ontario, Canada KOM 2K0

Yes indeed, it is laudable and fully understandable that you would enjoy seeing your article published in FSR -and, for all we know, we might enjoy it too. But, most unfortunately, J. Randles would ***not*** enjoy it.

For, in an authoritative ruling about FSR published by J. Randles in a French UFO research journal in July 1987, the firmest and clearest warning was issued to the world that FSR is totally superseded and scarcely ever carries any interesting reports, and that the British researchers and experts "give it a wide berth".

It is not FSR's policy to upset people, as I am sure you will appreciate, so your right course in the matter is not too difficult to determine. Many, however, will find it particularly sad that our readers should be deprived of what would doubtless have been a contribution of real value and interest. -EDITOR.■

*** PLEASE PROMOTE FSR! ***

In order to guarantee the survival of this magazine which we, along with many other people, still believe to be the best in the world dealing with this subject, we need all the help we can get! The most important thing is to increase our circulation. So, please tell your friends about FSR, and encourage them to subscribe! Thanks -Editor.

I. A STRONGER -AND MORE LETHAL!- SUN?

Just as we were preparing this issue of FSR for the printers, two matters -both probably of enormous importance in connection with the future of our species- have caught my attention.

The first was the announcement (*Sunday Times*, September 24, 2000, page 9) that scientists are now dismissing claims that taxing fossil fuels will stop "global warming", **because new evidence shows that this excessive warming is caused by our Sun, and not to any large extent by any human agency.**

I am not a scientist, and I habitually avoid embroilment in scientific discussions, and try to confine myself to matters on which I do have some knowledge.

But I have to say that never for a moment have I believed that an increase in the "greenhouse" effect (namely heat retention caused in part by increased carbon dioxide levels, caused in turn by the burning of fossil fuels) can be the main factor responsible for global warming.

My own general impression, from my readings in Astronomy, has been that it was **generally assumed that our Sun is a relatively stable one**. But what if it turns out **that it isn't?**

As for Gaia, I have always felt, as James Lovelock does, that our Mother Planet is herself assuredly a living being -as the Greeks and other ancient cultures have believed- for is it not obvious that she has given birth to every living thing that we can see or know of around us here?

Furthermore, I have felt that she is far too big and man is far too small for him to be able to get away with doing much damage to her. For she always holds the trump card that, if the human creatures get too troublesome, she can wipe them out, as she has already done here to Atlantis and at least six or seven other "Ages of Human Civilisation". (The **Occult Tradition** has always taught this -but of course it is very rare to find a scientist who knows anything about such ideas. Allen Hynek was one of them who did!)

As a boy growing up on a farm when all kinds of wild life still existed in England -including lots of hedgehogs -I used to keep these pleasant little critters as pets. Of course they always came totally infested with fleas, and I soon developed an effective technique for dealing with that -a bucket of water thrown over the rolled-up hedgehog ball carried off most of the fleas.

And long ago, as I pondered on life, I began to see that this is precisely what happens to the humans here every now and then when Gaia finds them too troublesome. A few big earthquakes, plus masses of water poured over our globe, will soon do the trick!

The prospect of a stronger -indeed even more lethal- Sun means a totally new ball game from that which most humans have probably been expecting.

I wonder whether anyone now recalls that extraordinary, weird, "over-the-top" chap, Richard Shaver, and his story *I remember Lemuria*? If you still have a copy you may find that it provides food for considerable thought.

It seems impossible to avoid the conclusion that a man like Shaver can never have concocted that story as a hoax. If you read it you may be left, as I was, with the feeling that Shaver had indeed had some sort of unearthly, uncanny experience. **He had made a contact with Someone or Something, from Somewhere.■**

II. THE UFOS ARE PUTTING DOWN ANIMALS HERE.

Here is the second interesting item. JOAN AMOS, in the South-West of England (Devon) has long been FSR's expert Consultant on pumas, panthers, and "Big Cats" in general.

Years ago, like myself, she started to spot a link between reports of UFO sightings or landings and reports and stories about "Big Cats", of which there are now no less than five or six species that are constantly popping up all over the place in Britain.

And we both spotted that the same sort of realtionship might also exist in connection with reports of the "Yeti", "Sasquwatch", "Abominable Snowman", or whatever the term (I collected about 200 of them from all over the world!) might be.

Such was -so far- our speculation. In due course, however, there has come some evidence. The first piece of clear evidence that I have found was given in my translation of one of Jorge Martín's amazing reports of UFOs over the great Yunque Forest in Eastern Puerto Rico. (See particularly pages 2 and 5 of my translation of his article *UFOs in and over Puerto Rico's National Forest*, in FSR 44/1, Spring 1999.)

That report described the experience of Sr Alberto Rivera who, at 2.00 am, was sitting watching television when he heard, from outside, loud yelping and howling, and saw something bounding along followed by all the dogs of the place. He then saw a huge UFO arrive, hover, and send down a sort of tube of light, up which the creature, a **chupacabra**, at once shot.

But, to cap it all, I now have a later report from Jorge Martín in his *Evidencia Ovni* No. 23 of this year, and which I shall publish very shortly. It is by a Mexican medical man, Dr Rafael Lara Palmeros, who states that the country folk in his area of Southern Mexico, around Vera Cruz, **"are frequently seeing panthers put down through long tubes from UFOs"!**

I take it from this that very likely our speculations have been well founded, and that it is indeed true that various sorts of creatures are being set down here from UFOs.

Is this a plan to "re-stock our planet with life"? That is one possible theory. And there are also others. Anyway, with these two extraordinary reports, first on a possible violent or lethal Sun, and second on the "arrival of animals from elsewhere", we have plenty to think about and to "chew on" for a long time to come! G.C.■

Uncovering the news you are not supposed to know.
natural health,human rights
suppressed news,UFOs
the unexplained,hidden history
future science and much more..
"Reading between the li(n)es"

NEXUS MAGAZINE

Sample issue £3.00.
1 year subscription £15.00 (6 issues)
Send cheque, PO,
payable to NEXUS Magazine,
or by VISA, Mastercard:

NEXUS Magazine, 55 Queen's Road, East Grinstead, West Sussex, RH19 1BG.

Tel: 01342-322854 ** **Fax:** 01342-324574

BACK NUMBERS.

These contain a wealth of material, especially useful for serious students of the subject.
Trace the evolution of thought over years!
We are now offering a wider range of back numbers at reduced prices as follows:-
Sent by surface mail only.

Volumes 22 to 32: Regrettably these are no longer available.

Volumes 33 to 41: New A4 format,1988-1996 inclusive, 4 larger loose issues per volume.
Cost Per Volume (incl. p.p): £7.00 UK, Overseas £8.00 or $15.00

Volumes 42 & 43 are still £15 UK, Overseas £18 or $35.00
Single issues are £4, overseas £5 or $10.

THE HUMANOIDS.
A seminal work, first published in1966. Edited by Charles Bowen, with contributions from Aimé Michel, Jacques Vallée , Gordon Creighton, Coral Lorenzen and Antonio Ribera. The first study ever made of the aliens!
Cost: £7.00 UK, overseas £8.00 or $15.00
POSTAGE AND PACKING FREE, SURFACE MAIL ONLY

And while you are about it, what about buying an FSR tie, obtainable from the same address! Cost £7.00 or $15.00.

All Payments to:
FSR Publications, Ltd,
PO Box 162
High Wycombe, Bucks.
HP13 5DZ

LIFE AND SOUL MAGAZINE
(FORMERLY REINCARNATION INTERNATIONAL)
The only publication exploring all aspects of immortality, including reincarnation, out-of-body experiences, spirit communication, karma, healing and parapsychological research.
Quarterly. 4 issues £12 (UK), £15 (Europe), £18 (world)
Cheques payable to "Karma Publishing Ltd" and send to: Dept. F, P.O. Box 119, Chipping Norton, OX7 6GR
Call **01993-832578** to pay by credit card.

THE CEREALOGIST
(The Crop Circles Journal)
Published three times yearly
Editor: John Sayer
Single Copy: £3.00
Annual Subscription:£10.00
(US$ 25) inc. p. & p.
Cheques and P.O.s made payable to:
The Cerealogist, Clements Farm,
Wheatley Lane, Kingsley, Bordon,
Hants. GU35 9PA.
Tel: 01420-520230

FLYING SAUCER REVIEW 1955-1994
Volume - Author- Article Index
By Edward G. Stewart

Large, softbound, 613 pages.
Price US$ 60, plus handling/shipping costs ($6 surface mail for international orders, and $4 surface mail for US orders) Available from:
ARCTURUS BOOKS INC.
1443 S.E. Port St. Lucie Blvd.
Port St. Lucie
FLORIDA 34952, USA
Tel: (407) 398-0796 Fax: (407) 337-1701

LUMIERES DANS LA NUIT
The best UFO magazine in Continental Europe, now in its 41st year.
EDITOR: JOËL MESNARD.
Subscription Cost:-
France: 265F per annum.
Europe: 295F per annum.
The Americas and Africa:
325F per annum.
Australia: 345F per annum.
Payments to:-
Lumières dans La Nuit,
B.P. 3,
86800 Saint Julien-l'Ars,
FRANCE.

Our new website address is:
http://www.fsreview.net

Published by FSR Publications Ltd, Box 162, High Wycombe, Bucks HP13 5DZ, England, and printed in Great Britain by P.K. Graphics Ltd, Unit 8, Wycombe Industrial Mall, West End Street, High Wycombe, Bucks HP11 2QY.